Flower size and longevity influence florivory in the large-flowered shrub Cistus ladanifer
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A B S T R A C T

Plants with larger and longer-lived flowers receive more pollinator visits and increase reproductive success, though may also suffer more from antagonistic interactions with animals. Florivores can reduce fruit and seed production, so selection on flower size, floral longevity and/or number of flowers may thus be determined by the relative effects of both pollinators and florivores. In this study flowers of Cistus ladanifer, a large-flowered Mediterranean shrub, were monitored to evaluate the effects of flower size, floral longevity and number of flowers on levels of florivory in four populations. Number of flowers was variable but did not differ among populations. Both flower size and floral longevity of C. ladanifer showed broad variation and significantly differed among populations. Overall, 7% of flowers suffered attack by florivores, which were mainly ants picking the stamens and beetles consuming petals and pollen. Within-populations, larger and longer-lived flowers tended to be affected by florivores more frequently. The low overall incidence of florivores and its lack of between-population variation suggest that florivory may not influence intraspecific variation of these floral traits. However, moderate florivory levels on the largest and longest-lived flowers open the possibility of exerting selection towards smaller and shorter-lived flowers in some of the populations studied.

© 2011 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Attractiveness to pollinators plays a decisive role in the reproductive ecology of entomophilous plants. The importance of the number of displayed flowers for pollinator visitation rates and fruit production has been broadly reported (Brody and Mitchell, 1997; Thompson, 2001; Harder and Johnson, 2005). Larger flowers have also been associated with higher pollinator attraction and, as a result, an increase in cross-pollination and reproductive success (Galen, 1989; Kudoh and Whigham, 1998; Arista and Ortiz, 2007). In the same way, floral longevity (the length of time that flowers remain open and functional) involves both a greater amount of pollen removal, and higher amount and quality of pollen deposition, on the flower (Primack, 1985; Ashman and Schoen, 1994, 1996). As a consequence, longer-lived flowers may also increase reproductive success.

Despite its benefits, floral attractiveness can also be related with greater plant–animal antagonist interactions. For example, floral herbivores (i.e. florivores) cause damage to open flowers, including damage to bracts, sepals, petals, androecium and/or gynoecium (McCall and Irwin, 2006). Thus, florivores may reduce fruit and seed production by degrading the attractive properties of flowers for pollinator service or by direct consumption of viable gametes (Schmelske and Horvitz, 1988; Krupnick et al., 1999; Irwin, 2006; Cardel and Koptur, 2010). In this way, florivores can exert negative selective pressures on the same floral traits positively selected for pollinators (Galen, 1999; Irwin et al., 2001; Irwin, 2006). There is evidence that florivory increases with increasing components of plant attractiveness to pollinators such as the number of flowers displayed and flower size (Galen, 1999; Mosleh Arany et al., 2009). Longer floral longevity should also increase the risk of florivory, as documented for other antagonistic interactions (e.g., fungal infection: Shykoff et al., 1996; Kaltz and Shykoff, 2001). However, the effects of floral longevity on the incidence of florivory seem to have been only scarcely studied and are not even mentioned in reviews of non-pollinator influences on floral traits (Strauss and Whitall, 2006).

The strength of agents of selection can vary geographically and lead to contrasting selective pressures at different locations (Thompson, 1982, 2005). Several studies have reported that individuals in those populations with a higher incidence of florivores display fewer, smaller flowers (Galen, 1999; Mosleh Arany et al., 2009). Thus, documenting spatial variation in incidence of florivory is important to understand differences in floral display related traits among populations.
In this study, we evaluate the effect of three floral attractiveness-related traits (flower size, floral longevity and number of flowers displayed) on incidence of florivory in four populations of Cistus ladanifer. We address the following specific questions: (1) Does florivory increase with flower size, floral longevity and number of flowers? (2) Does florivory vary among populations? Given the potential relationship between floral attractiveness and florivory incidence, we expect florivory to be higher both on larger and on longer-lived flowers within-populations, as well as on flowers of showier plants that produce more flowers.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Species and study area

C. ladanifer (Cistaceae) is a shrub 100–250 cm tall that inhabits acid and dry soils in warm open areas of the western Mediterranean. The flowering period spans March to June and each plant produces white flowers of approximately 7–10 cm in diameter, often exhibiting dark coloured spots at their bases. The flowers are the largest in the family with an average of more than 150 anthers and 1000 ovules, are self-incompatible and secrete some nectar (Herrera, 1992). The pollinators are mainly bees, beetles and flies (Talavera et al., 1993). A predispersal seed predator, the larva of Cleonymia yvanii (Noctuidae), attacks very young fruits, where it spends part or all its pre-imaginal development (Serrano et al., 2001; Delgado et al., 2007). Flowers last at least 1 day, with individual plants showing some plasticity for this trait (A.L. Teixido, M. Méndez and F. Valladares, unpublished results).

The study was conducted from March to June of 2009 in a south–north altitudinal gradient from 720 to 1300 m a.s.l. in Madrid province, central Spain (39.53°–41.09° N, 30.03°–4.34° W). A total of four populations were chosen to study florivory (Table 1). All populations had similar orientation (south), slope (0–10%) and tree canopy cover (0–10%).

2.2. Floral traits and florivory incidence

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study sites</th>
<th>Altitude (m)</th>
<th>Climate</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Vegetation cover</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monte Valdelatas</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>Dry 520 mm, 14 °C</td>
<td>Clay and sand</td>
<td>Dehesa with Quercus ilex and Pinus pine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Pedriza</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>Subhumid 771 mm, 12 °C</td>
<td>Clay and sand</td>
<td>Patchy scrubland with Q. ilex among boulders and rocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vista Real</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>Subhumid 820 mm, 11 °C</td>
<td>Granite and sand</td>
<td>Patchy scrubland with junipers oxycedrus among boulders and rocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto de Canencia</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>Subhumid 865 mm, 9 °C</td>
<td>Granite and sand</td>
<td>Dispersed wooded slope with Pinus sylvestris and Quercus pyrenaica</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Estimate ± SD</th>
<th>Test value</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Random</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant (Population)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.62 ± 2.05</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant size</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spot</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.27</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floral longevity</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.27</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flower size</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.21</td>
<td>13.83</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of flowers</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floral longevity × population</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.34</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flower size × population</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.26</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of flowers × population</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Floral traits and florivory incidence (%) on flowers at each population.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Flower size (cm)</th>
<th>Floral longevity (d)</th>
<th>Number of flowers</th>
<th>Florivory incidence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monte Valdelatas</td>
<td>7.00 ± 1.13</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.56</td>
<td>17.31 ± 8.26</td>
<td>9.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Pedriza</td>
<td>8.02 ± 0.74</td>
<td>1.92 ± 0.70</td>
<td>19.93 ± 9.08</td>
<td>9.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vista Real</td>
<td>8.12 ± 0.81</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.41</td>
<td>18.57 ± 11.30</td>
<td>3.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto de Canencia</td>
<td>6.60 ± 0.79</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.48</td>
<td>19.40 ± 8.71</td>
<td>4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7.95 ± 0.86</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.62</td>
<td>18.82 ± 10.08</td>
<td>6.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
did not significantly differ among populations ($F_{3, 78} = 0.25, P = 0.859$), but did differ among plants within-populations ($F_{87, 35} = 41.89, P < 0.001$). Table 2 shows mean flower size, mean floral longevity and mean number of flowers in each population.

### 3.2. Florivory incidence

Overall, 189 flowers (ca. 7%) had some type of florivory (Table 2). The main florivores were several ant species picking stamens and beetles consuming petals and pollen. The incidence of florivory on *C. ladanifer* flowers did not differ among populations (Table 3). The incidence of florivory was significantly influenced by flower size and floral longevity (Table 3). Effects of flower size on florivory were significantly positive in three populations (Table 4) and were higher than 30% in Monte Valdelatas (Fig. 1). In addition, there was a significant positive effect of floral longevity on the proportion of damaged flowers, ranging from approximately 3% in 1 day flowers to 67% in 5 day flowers (Table 5).

### 4. Discussion

Both flower size and longevity positively influenced florivory incidence in *C. ladanifer*. The effect of flower size on the probability of damage by florivores is relatively well documented (Galen, 1999; Galen and Cuba, 2001; Lara and Ornelas, 2001). In contrast, our finding of increased florivory on longer-lived flowers is novel. Previously, higher floral longevity has been related only to increased risk of anther smut infection (Shykoff et al., 1996; Kaltz and Shykoff, 2001). Thus, floral longevity seems to have been understudied in relation to plant–animal antagonistic interactions and deserves further research.

Contrary to our expectations, number of flowers did not affect florivory. This is surprising, because florivore incidence has been previously associated with reduction in the number of flowers displayed (Krupnick et al., 1999; Mosleh Arany et al., 2009; Penet et al., 2009), as well as with other plant–animal antagonistic interactions, such as higher incidence of predispersal seed predators (Hainsworth et al., 1984; English-Loeb and Karban, 1992; Kudoh and Whigham, 1998) and herbivores (Ehrlén, 1997; Strauss and Whittall, 2006; Sandring et al., 2007). In our case, the dominant florivores (ants and beetles) may use cues other than number of flowers to locate their food plants.

The relevance of florivores as agents of natural selection is dependent, among other factors, on their overall incidence. Studies on florivory have reported moderate to high incidences (e.g., 75% in Galen, 1999; see however Bredmore and Kirk, 1998; Malo et al., 2001). Our maximum values of damaged flowers reported (approximately 10%) along with the absence of significant differences of florivory incidence among populations would suggest at best a mild selective influence of florivores on *C. ladanifer*. As a comparison, the incidence of predispersal seed predation of *C. ladanifer* in the same area was greater than 40% (Delgado et al., 2007). However, temporal variation should be considered since

**Table 5**

Proportions of damaged flowers by florivores for each floral longevity of *C. ladanifer*. Sample size is in brackets. Likelihood ratio is the value of the G-statistic for testing that flowers with florivores are distributed randomly with respect to floral longevity. Significant *P*-values are marked in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Floral longevity (d)</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Likelihood ratio (G)</th>
<th><em>P</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monte Valdelatas</td>
<td>0.073 (425)</td>
<td>0.108 (195)</td>
<td>0.308 (26)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Pedriza</td>
<td>0.033 (182)</td>
<td>0.075 (414)</td>
<td>0.250 (84)</td>
<td>0.429 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vista Real</td>
<td>0.027 (501)</td>
<td>0.069 (145)</td>
<td>0.111 (18)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto de Canencia</td>
<td>0.038 (532)</td>
<td>0.074 (121)</td>
<td>0.111 (18)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1.** Logistic adjustments showing the increased probability of florivory with increases in flower size. In the three populations of *C. ladanifer* where the relationship between flower size and florivory were significant (Table 4).
annual variation in the influence of florivores has occasionally been documented (Galen and Cuba, 2001; Kawagoe and Kudoh, 2010).

Another important factor in determining the selective relevance of florivores is the extent to which damage is greater on larger or longer-lived flowers. For flower size, florivory probabilities of approximately 18–35% on largest flowers (Fig. 1) open the possibility of relevant selective pressures towards smaller flowers in Monte Valdealatas and La Pedriza. For floral longevity, florivory incidences of 25% to 67% on flowers lasting three or more days may also open these same possibilities towards short-lived flowers at those two populations (Table 5). Nevertheless, formal phenotypic selection analysis, and verification that flower longevity is a genetically controlled trait, would be needed to confirm these possibilities.

In conclusion, our results support the notion that some floral traits associated with attractiveness to pollinators are also attractive to florivores. Both larger and longer-lived flowers suffered higher incidence of florivory within populations of C. indica. The low overall incidence of florivores and its lack of between-population variation suggest that these florivory patterns may not influence intraspecific variation of these floral traits. However, moderate florivory levels on the largest and longest-lived flowers open the possibility of exerting a relevant selective pressure towards smaller and shorter-lived flowers in some of our study populations.
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