

Supplementary Material

Table S1. Logistic regression equations relating butterfly species occupancy to elevation.

a) 1967-1973

Species	N _P	N _A	-2LL	R ²	Chi ²	B ₀	B ₁	B ₂	Optimum elevation (m)
<i>Argynnis adippe</i>	18	25	39.09	0.49	19.38***	-11.18	12.35	-2.79	2213
<i>Argynnis aglaja</i>	14	29	40.94	0.37	13.33**	-27.51	41.72	-15.39	1355
<i>Argynnis paphia</i>	9	33	27.55	0.49	16.10***	-70.83	118.36	-48.98	1208
<i>Coenonympha arcania</i>	9	31	25.23	0.54	17.43***	-51.45	70.70	-23.84	1483
<i>Erebia meolans</i>	7	34	27.12	0.37	10.36**	-6.70	3.08	0.51	>2400
<i>Erebia triaria</i>	12	26	38.17	0.30	9.23*	-17.02	22.54	-7.26	1552
<i>Hesperia comma</i>	13	26	35.63	0.42	14.02**	-29.01	43.03	-15.48	1390
<i>Hipparchia alcyone</i>	8	34	29.53	0.38	11.37**	-54.46	89.10	-36.29	1228
<i>Hipparchia statilinus</i> [§]	20	20	45.39	0.30	10.06**	-11.64	16.18	-5.04	1605
<i>Hyponephele lycaon</i>	6	34	13.38	0.70	20.44***	-190.38	310.91	-125.9	1235
<i>Kanetisa circe</i> [§]	17	27	40.63	0.46	18.07***	-21.11	40.36	-18.58	1086
<i>Lycaena alciphron</i>	18	22	42.39	0.36	12.66**	-15.76	21.15	-6.55	1615
<i>Lycaena virgaureae</i>	14	30	41.31	0.38	13.73**	-24.84	34.08	-11.32	1505
<i>Maniola jurtina</i> [§]	20	23	43.09	0.42	16.31***	2.62	9.29	-5.77	805
<i>Melanargia lachesis</i> [§]	26	16	48.63	0.21	7.19*	-5.91	12.41	-5.41	1147
<i>Melanargia russiae</i>	12	32	25.74	0.64	25.83***	-63.16	84.83	-27.69	1532
<i>Melitaea cinxia</i>	16	21	43.68	0.23	6.94*	-7.84	14.43	-6.23	1158
<i>Melitaea phoebe</i> [§]	16	26	43.50	0.35	12.32**	-11.37	22.46	-10.66	1053
<i>Parnassius apollo</i>	20	24	26.74	0.72	33.90***	-14.51	13.97	-1.73	>2400
<i>Pyronia bathseba</i> [§]	12	31	41.90	0.27	9.01*	-0.87	3.84	-3.30	582
<i>Pyronia cecilia</i> [§]	20	23	35.01	0.58	24.39***	-11.98	29.12	-15.69	928
<i>Pyronia tithonus</i>	11	27	29.96	0.49	15.77***	-23.48	47.56	-23.57	1009
<i>Satyrus actaea</i>	18	26	38.96	0.50	20.57***	-25.30	34.20	-10.86	1575

b) 2004

Species	N _P	N _A	-2LL	R ²	Chi ²	B ₀	B ₁	B ₂	Optimum elevation (m)
<i>Argynnis adippe</i>	29	61	88.23	0.34	24.91***	-20.52	24.63	-7.24	1701
<i>Argynnis aglaja</i>	27	61	91.54	0.25	16.97***	-15.81	19.65	-6.04	1627
<i>Argynnis paphia</i>	22	63	83.45	0.22	13.77**	-16.07	20.98	-6.90	1520
<i>Coenonympha arcania</i>	19	76	74.58	0.31	20.50***	-25.58	35.44	-12.34	1436
<i>Erebia meolans</i>	14	79	52.39	0.43	26.41***	-41.16	42.12	-10.78	1954
<i>Erebia triaria</i>	34	61	81.98	0.49	41.94***	-27.48	31.27	-8.58	1822
<i>Hesperia comma</i>	49	39	69.02	0.60	51.83***	-23.89	30.08	-8.66	1737
<i>Hipparchia alcyone</i>	45	42	56.92	0.69	63.59***	-39.81	52.07	-16.00	1627
<i>Hipparchia statilinus</i> [§]	42	46	71.79	0.58	50.03***	-11.61	23.31	-10.11	1153
<i>Hyponephele lycaon</i>	62	31	58.74	0.66	59.65***	-25.48	33.12	-9.58	1729
<i>Kanetisa circe</i> [§]	60	34	63.41	0.64	59.62***	-12.26	25.05	-10.40	1204
<i>Lycaena alciphron</i>	32	44	62.07	0.57	41.38***	-32.10	39.71	-11.72	1694
<i>Lycaena virgaureae</i>	43	49	63.88	0.66	63.27***	-40.58	51.14	-15.25	1677
<i>Maniola jurtina</i> [§]	60	22	59.84	0.51	35.54***	12.41	-10.08	1.72	<600
<i>Melanargia lachesis</i> [§]	74	18	37.64	0.70	53.31***	-19.02	36.49	-13.49	1352
<i>Melanargia russiae</i>	12	85	54.63	0.32	17.97***	-48.52	58.12	-17.60	1651
<i>Melitaea cinxia</i>	11	82	49.54	0.34	18.07***	-27.51	45.71	-19.31	1184
<i>Melitaea phoebe</i> [§]	15	75	56.51	0.40	24.59***	-11.53	22.47	-11.06	1016
<i>Parnassius apollo</i>	9	85	47.51	0.25	11.83**	-40.09	46.12	-13.61	1694
<i>Pyronia bathseba</i> [§]	19	75	64.39	0.43	30.24***	2.95	-1.88	-1.13	<600
<i>Pyronia cecilia</i> [§]	19	77	31.57	0.77	63.95***	-23.03	59.42	-35.45	838
<i>Pyronia tithonus</i>	50	42	54.49	0.73	72.35***	-23.43	42.49	-17.16	1238
<i>Satyrus actaea</i>	21	68	48.55	0.63	48.70***	-61.69	66.12	-17.12	1931

N_{P/A} = N present / absent; -2LL = -2 log likelihood ratio of model. Logit (Probability of occupancy) = B₀ + B₁ X elevation (km) + B₂ X elevation². Significance: *** $P < 0.001$; ** $P < 0.01$; * $P < 0.05$. § denotes species observed below 800 m. Optimum elevation is the elevation with the highest probability of occupancy. Nomenclature follows García-Barros *et al.* (2004).

Table S2. Huisman-Olff-Fresco (HOF) models relating butterfly species occupancy to elevation.

a) 1967-1973

Species	Model	Deviance change	df	F	a	b	c	d	Optimum elevation (m)
<i>Argynnis adippe</i>	II	18.96	42	18.72 ^{***}	3.40	-6.97			>2400
<i>Argynnis aglaja</i>	IV	15.25	41	16.43 ^{***}	-9.39	15.31	5.30	b	1301
<i>Argynnis paphia</i>	IV	15.63	40	21.38 ^{***}	-11.18	22.78	7.69	b	1208
<i>Coenonympha arcania</i>	IV	11.46	38	16.00 ^{***}	-11.68	13.99	7.72	b	1480
<i>Erebia meolans</i>	II	10.35	40	14.12 ^{***}	4.87	-5.61			>2400
<i>Erebia triaria</i>	II	6.46	37	5.81 [*]	2.50	-3.31			>2400
<i>Hesperia comma</i>	IV	12.94	37	13.24 ^{***}	-9.15	13.78	5.14	b	1356
<i>Hipparchia alcyone</i>	V	2.99	39	4.36 [*]	-460.62	829.01	5.99	15.53	1398
<i>Hipparchia statilinus</i> [§]	II	7.34	39	5.87 [*]	1.57	-3.97			>2400
<i>Hyponephele lycaon</i>	V	2.44	37	9.62 ^{**}	272.57	516.52	12.98	34.57	1349
<i>Kanetisa circe</i> [§]	IV	11.50	42	11.37 ^{**}	-5.43	11.72	2.22	b	1083
<i>Lycaena alciphron</i>	II	9.29	39	8.21 ^{**}	2.09	-4.44			>2400
<i>Lycaena virgaureae</i>	IV	9.11	42	9.07 ^{**}	-9.49	11.97	5.28	b	1496
<i>Maniola jurtina</i> [§]	III	6.07	41	6.07 [*]	-540.39	971.39	-0.75		<600-1399
<i>Melanargia lachesis</i> [§]	IV	5.73	40	4.81 [*]	-4.47	6.94	0.15	b	1093
<i>Melanargia russiae</i>	IV	15.79	42	25.44 ^{***}	-16.32	20.16	9.57	b	1532
<i>Melitaea cinxia</i>	V	6.22	34	5.47 [*]	-2.35	4.95	18.20	202.61	787
<i>Melitaea phoebe</i> [§]	III	9.14	40	8.90 ^{**}	-491.58	882.88	-0.22		<600-1398
<i>Parnassius apollo</i>	II	33.87	43	50.63 ^{***}	5.93	-13.81			>2400
<i>Pyronia bathseba</i> [§]	II	8.62	41	8.01 ^{**}	-0.89	4.96			<600
<i>Pyronia cecilia</i> [§]	IV	6.78	41	7.49 ^{**}	-5.27	12.91	-0.04	b	908
<i>Pyronia tithonus</i>	IV	8.53	36	10.23 ^{**}	-4.62	13.34	1.78	b	976
<i>Satyrus actaea</i>	IV	6.87	42	7.67 ^{**}	-11.03	12.63	5.12	b	1528

b) 2004

Species	Model	Deviance change	df	F	a	b	c	d	Optimum elevation (m)
<i>Argynnis adippe</i>	IV	13.44	88	12.95 ^{***}	-7.38	9.07	4.58	b	1721
<i>Argynnis aglaja</i>	III	16.36	86	15.75 ^{***}	567.33	-2166.5	0.34		1075->2400
<i>Argynnis paphia</i>	IV	13.99	83	14.38 ^{***}	-5.97	9.76	4.07	b	1484
<i>Coenonympha arcania</i>	IV	21.62	93	27.57 ^{***}	-7.80	13.55	5.78	b	1462
<i>Erebia meolans</i>	III	9.32	91	16.62 ^{***}	703.04	-1333.2	0.54		1513->2400
<i>Erebia triaria</i>	IV	12.87	93	14.19 ^{***}	-9.91	10.64	5.79	b	1846
<i>Hesperia comma</i>	IV	20.63	86	25.80 ^{***}	-12.19	12.85	4.92	b	1732
<i>Hipparchia alcyone</i>	IV	48.91	85	69.03 ^{***}	-14.55	17.29	6.57	b	1642
<i>Hipparchia statilinus</i> [§]	IV	16.47	86	18.84 ^{***}	-6.17	11.35	0.46	b	1119
<i>Hyponephele lycaon</i>	IV	24.83	91	40.11 ^{***}	-16.13	16.14	5.39	b	1733
<i>Kanetisa circe</i> [§]	IV	24.89	92	36.51 ^{***}	-9.20	14.48	0.31	b	1179
<i>Lycaena alciphron</i>	V	7.67	73	9.74 ^{**}	-4.47	5.32	33.63	84.53	1388
<i>Lycaena virgaureae</i>	V	3.67	89	4.89 [*]	-5.14	5.90	18.90	50.45	1404
<i>Maniola jurtina</i> [§]	II	35.12	81	45.47 ^{***}	-5.14	7.33			<600
<i>Melanargia lachesis</i> [§]	IV	30.95	90	70.65 ^{***}	-16.19	21.25	0.77	b	1302
<i>Melanargia russiae</i>	V	6.64	94	13.13 ^{***}	-4.02	7.71	2808.7	5716.1	1448
<i>Melitaea cinxia</i>	IV	12.38	91	22.04 ^{***}	-5.78	15.74	4.52	b	1177
<i>Melitaea phoebe</i> [§]	V	2.54	87	4.06 [*]	-2.40	9.58	10.00	75.17	927
<i>Parnassius apollo</i>	V	4.83	91	10.02 ^{**}	-1.81	4.89	2394.4	4883.5	1447
<i>Pyronia bathseba</i> [§]	II	30.11	93	42.47 ^{***}	-1.58	7.61			<600
<i>Pyronia cecilia</i> [§]	IV	5.16	94	14.40 ^{***}	-5.87	23.92	-0.55	b	822
<i>Pyronia tithonus</i>	IV	40.52	90	64.55 ^{***}	-10.84	18.27	2.11	b	1221
<i>Satyrus actaea</i>	V	2.85	86	5.20 [*]	-164.16	185.95	9.02	13.75	2040

Model: V – Skewed; IV – Symmetrical; III – Plateau; II – Monotone (curvilinear). Model formula: Probability of occupancy = $1 / (1 + \exp(a + bx)) (1 / (1 + \exp(c - dx)))$. x variable is elevation (m), scaled between 0 (for lowest elevation datapoint) and 1 (for highest elevation datapoint). Significance of deviance change: ^{***} $P < 0.001$; ^{**} $P < 0.01$; ^{*} $P < 0.05$. [§] denotes species observed below 800 m.

Table S3. Phylogenetic GLS regressions of change in minimum and maximum**elevations, and modelled habitable area, against occupancy change.** a) 16 species notfound below 800 m; b) 15 species not found below 800 m, excluding one outlier (*H. lycaon*);

c) 7 species found below 800 m.

Dependent variable	Alpha [†]	R	Ln Likelihood	B ₀ (±SE)	B ₁ (±SE)
a) 16 species, >800 m					
Δ minimum elevation (m)	5.71	-0.63 ^{**}	-89.86	212.2 (±60.1) ^{**}	-159.3 (±52.9) ^{**}
Δ maximum elevation (m)	9.53	0.89 ^{***}	-86.12	61.1 (±38.5) ^{NS}	299.1 (±41.7) ^{***}
Δ LR modelled area ≥10%	4.13	0.81 ^{***}	13.39	-0.32 (±0.12) [*]	0.33 (±0.07) ^{***}
Δ HOF modelled area ≥10%	4.47	0.85 ^{***}	13.02	-0.37 (±0.11) ^{**}	0.41 (±0.07) ^{***}
Δ LR modelled area ≥20%	4.51	0.87 ^{***}	17.12	-0.34 (±0.09) ^{**}	0.35 (±0.05) ^{***}
Δ HOF modelled area ≥20%	6.93	0.88 ^{***}	15.68	-0.32 (±0.08) ^{**}	0.41 (±0.06) ^{***}
Δ LR modelled area ≥50%	15.50	0.85 ^{***}	8.10	-0.50 (±0.10) ^{***}	0.57 (±0.10) ^{***}
Δ HOF modelled area ≥50%	15.50	0.84 ^{***}	8.85	-0.46 (±0.10) ^{***}	0.53 (±0.09) ^{***}
b) 15 species, >800 m					
Δ minimum elevation (m)	5.03	-0.62 [*]	-84.41	205.3 (±68.2) [*]	-197.2 (±69.4) [*]
Δ maximum elevation (m)	12.10	0.84 ^{***}	-80.87	71.0 (±39.1) ^{NS}	331.6 (±59.4) ^{***}
Δ LR modelled area ≥10%	4.39	0.63 [*]	12.16	-0.32 (±0.12) [*]	0.30 (±0.10) [*]
Δ HOF modelled area ≥10%	4.83	0.69 ^{**}	11.92	-0.37 (±0.11) ^{**}	0.40 (±0.10) ^{**}
Δ LR modelled area ≥20%	4.35	0.78 ^{***}	15.55	-0.35 (±0.10) ^{**}	0.37 (±0.08) ^{***}
Δ HOF modelled area ≥20%	7.18	0.76 ^{***}	14.19	-0.32 (±0.08) ^{**}	0.40 (±0.10) ^{**}
Δ LR modelled area ≥50%	15.50	0.89 ^{***}	11.24	-0.48 (±0.08) ^{***}	0.87 (±0.12) ^{***}
Δ HOF modelled area ≥50%	15.50	0.84 ^{***}	9.96	-0.44 (±0.09) ^{***}	0.75 (±0.13) ^{***}

Dependent variable	Alpha [†]	R	Ln Likelihood	B ₀ (±SE)	B ₁ (±SE)
c) 7 species, <800 m					
Δ minimum elevation (m)	4.22	-0.57 ^{NS}	-37.45	27.2 (±67.3) ^{NS}	-174.3 (±113.4) ^{NS}
Δ maximum elevation (m)	15.50	0.72 ^{NS}	-39.93	-65.0 (±91.6) ^{NS}	376.5 (±162.8) ^{NS}
Δ LR modelled area ≥10%	3.95	0.67 ^{NS}	11.73	0.06 (±0.05) ^{NS}	0.21 (±0.10) ^{NS}
Δ HOF modelled area ≥10%	1.87	0.93 ^{**}	16.08	-0.03 (±0.04) ^{NS}	0.30 (±0.05) ^{**}
Δ LR modelled area ≥20%	3.64	0.70 ^{NS}	8.98	0.09 (±0.08) ^{NS}	0.33 (±0.15) ^{NS}
Δ HOF modelled area ≥20%	4.30	0.86 [*]	10.98	0.01 (±0.06) ^{NS}	0.44 (±0.12) [*]
Δ LR modelled area ≥50%	7.50	0.55 ^{NS}	1.07	0.17 (±0.23) ^{NS}	0.70 (±0.48) ^{NS}
Δ HOF modelled area ≥50%	6.50	0.38 ^{NS}	-0.90	0.35 (±0.31) ^{NS}	0.59 (±0.63) ^{NS}

Δ = change in variable, regressed against proportion change in occupancy. [†]Maximum likelihood estimate of alpha – higher values show lower phylogenetic constraint. Area models: LR – logistic regression (Table S1); HOF – Huisman-Olff-Fresco (Table S2).

Significance tested using N-2 degrees of freedom: *** $P < 0.001$; ** $P < 0.01$; * $P < 0.05$; ^{NS} $P \geq 0.05$.

Table S4. Tests of models using temperature associations in 1967-1973 to predict high-elevation species distributions in 2004, and vice versa.

a) Predictions of 2004 distributions using 1967-1973 temperature associations

Species	N _P	N _A	-2LL	R ²	Chi ²
<i>Argynnis adippe</i>	29	61	101.33	0.17	11.81**
<i>Argynnis aglaja</i>	27	61	100.33	0.13	8.18**
<i>Argynnis paphia</i>	22	63	88.77	0.14	8.44**
<i>Coenonympha arcania</i>	19	76	92.52	0.04	2.56 ^{NS}
<i>Erebia meolans</i>	14	79	65.26	0.24	13.54***
<i>Erebia triaria</i>	34	61	84.86	0.46	39.06***
<i>Hesperia comma</i>	49	39	75.59	0.54	45.26***
<i>Hipparchia alcyone</i>	45	42	101.01	0.27	19.49***
<i>Hyponephele lycaon</i>	62	31	107.76	0.15	10.64**
<i>Lycaena alciphron</i>	32	44	73.31	0.44	30.15***
<i>Lycaena virgaureae</i>	43	49	79.92	0.54	47.23***
<i>Melanargia russiae</i>	12	85	63.68	0.17	8.93**
<i>Melitaea cinxia</i>	11	82	61.76	0.12	5.85*
<i>Parnassius apollo</i>	9	85	53.84	0.12	5.5*
<i>Pyronia tithonus</i>	50	42	71.30	0.61	55.55***
<i>Satyrus actaea</i>	21	68	51.95	0.60	45.30***

Models used to predict distributions from Table S1a, elevation converted to mean annual temperature (°C). N_{P/A} = N present / absent in 2004; -2LL is -2 log likelihood ratio of logistic regression model for presence / absence in 2004, using temperature associations in 1967-1973. Significance:*** $P < 0.001$;** $P < 0.01$;* $P < 0.05$; ^{NS} $P > 0.05$.

B) Predictions of 1967-1973 distributions using 2004 temperature associations.

Species	N _P	N _A	-2LL	R ²	Chi ²
<i>Argynnis adippe</i>	18	25	52.16	0.18	6.31*
<i>Argynnis aglaja</i>	14	29	41.44	0.36	12.83***
<i>Argynnis paphia</i>	9	33	28.52	0.47	15.13***
<i>Coenonympha arcania</i>	9	31	41.21	0.05	1.24 ^{NS}
<i>Erebia meolans</i>	7	34	26.65	0.39	10.83**
<i>Erebia triaria</i>	12	26	39.10	0.28	8.3**
<i>Hesperia comma</i>	13	26	38.90	0.33	10.74**
<i>Hipparchia alcyone</i>	8	34	34.43	0.23	6.47*
<i>Hyponephele lycaon</i>	6	34	27.90	0.24	5.92*
<i>Lycaena alciphron</i>	18	22	44.77	0.30	10.28**
<i>Lycaena virgaureae</i>	14	30	44.93	0.29	10.12**
<i>Melanargia russiae</i>	12	32	35.51	0.44	16.05***
<i>Melitaea cinxia</i>	16	21	44.62	0.20	5.99*
<i>Parnassius apollo</i>	20	24	49.59	0.30	11.05**
<i>Pyronia tithonus</i>	11	27	29.45	0.50	16.28***
<i>Satyrus actaea</i>	18	26	50.09	0.26	9.45**

Models used to predict distributions from Table S1b, elevation converted to mean annual temperature (°C). N_{P/A} = N present / absent in 1967-1973; -2LL is -2 log likelihood ratio of logistic regression model for presence / absence in 1967-1973, using temperature associations in 2004. Significance:*** $P < 0.001$;** $P < 0.01$;* $P < 0.05$;^{NS} $P > 0.05$.